Continental Association Championships & Continental Training Centres (CTCs)

ISAF to Provide Annual Budget

A submission from Sailing Cook Islands, Papua New Guinea Yacht & Boat Association and the Singapore Sailing Federation

Purpose or Objective

ISAF is an Olympic Sport on the Olympic Games Program. ISAF should strategically and equitably invest in developing its Olympic status, investing in principles of Olympism, Universality and the development of Olympic representation of Sailors from all its member MNAs.

Proposal

ISAF appropriates grants to Continental Associations (CAs) for the organisation and operation of Continental Competitions and a Continental Training Centre (CTC) in each Region.

Many MNAs do not have National Training Centres or are inefficient and cannot fund the costs of professional Training Centre staff and equipment.

CA's plans for CTC are fully advanced with a strategy of coordination using these National Sailing Academies, improving inefficient and underutilised national centres and combining and sharing resources at the CTC level for the benefits of all MNA's and our Sailors.

Significant focus and investment should be made by ISAF into a budget to support the hosting of CA Championships (Area Championships) and Continental Training Centres (CTC). Detailed budgets are available to ISAF for consideration.

CTC (Continental Training Centre) support grants of USD20,000 pa. Based upon 5 CAs this will require an annual budget of USD100,000 to CTCs.

CAC (Continental Association Championships) support grants of USD40,000 pa for Championships and Athlete Preparation & participation costs. Based upon 5 CAs this will require an annual budget of USD200,000 to CACs - or USD1m for CAC over 4 years.

Proposal from the USD9.65m Olympic Games Revenues received by ISAF.

Current Position

ISAF does not invest in Continental Championships or Continental Training Centres.

Reasons: Sailing Cook Islands, Papua New Guinea Yacht & Boat

1. ISAF strategic objective is the equitable allocation of its Olympic Games Revenues to support Olympic Values, Continental championships, Olympic Games participation under the
universality principles & Olympic Games Athlete preparation utilising Continental Training Centres.

2. ISAF received in the last Quadrennium GBP9.685million (combined 2012 and 2013 Olympic Games Revenues as shown in the 2013 ISAF Financial Statements).

3. There is no significant budget appropriation in ISAF that recognises the underlying significance of this revenue. Insignificant and token allocations are made to Development Budget, Olympic Classes and Olympic Games preparation.

4. ISAF does not maintain a high profile as an Olympic sport and is not high on the list of performing IFs on the IOC program.

5. CA’s plans for CTCs are fully advanced in Oceania with a strategy of coordination using MNA National Sailing Academies, plus improving those MNA academies that are either inefficient, underutilised or non-existent national Training centres and combining, sharing resources at the CTC level for the benefits of all MNAs and our Sailors. Greater efficiency in financial and skill based resources and higher performance outputs are achieved especially in small MNA countries.

6. It is inconsistent with IOC principles that ISAF requires its members to compete at World Championships as the method of qualification to the Olympic Games. This imbalance contributes to greater imbalances between the apparent value of each Continental region. This would be clearly evidenced if ISAF removed the member classification system and adopted 5 continental Regions as does most other IFs and the IOC.

7. Continental qualifying systems based on the IOC 5 Continental regions and the ISAF Continental Associations should be introduced. This will follow the recommended IOC system of regional classification.

8. ONOC (Oceania National Olympic Committees) and OSFO (Olympic Sports Federations of Oceania) 2014 AGM’s in Guam in May this year considered a recommendation relating to this matter of equitable allocations of grants between IFs and their CAs.

9. Back in 2010 ONOC undertook an analysis of IF funding to sports in the ONOC region. The range is embarrassingly wide from IF grants as high as +USD20m per annum to USDnil pa.

10. Unfortunately ISAF was in the nil category in the ONOC survey. This survey is available to ISAF if required as evidential data.

11. Following the Guam ONOC and OSFO AGMs submissions are being addressed to the IOC and Sport Accord recommending consistent approach be adopted by IFs in their equitable allocation and sharing of resources across continental regions in their commitment to raising the standards of athlete performances in Oceania and all regions. ISAF should be a leader in adopting this policy.

12. This policy could well be extended to the sharing of Olympic Games Revenues for the development of athletes within all continental regions

13. In our continental region (ONOC) there is an Olympic Solidarity Continental Grant Program available to Regional Sports Federations for Continental Training Centres (CTCs). This is a strategy designed to invest in the preparation of athletes for qualification into the Olympic Games. OSAF does not qualify for these grants as it cannot match these grants with at least equivalent grants from ISAF. This is reason for ISAF to consider the allocation of direct grants to Continental Associations.
Reasons: Singapore Sailing Federation

1. ISAF strategic objective is the equitable allocation of its Olympic Games Revenues to support Olympic Values, Continental championships, Olympic Games participation under the universality principles & Olympic Games Athlete preparation utilising Continental Training Centres.

2. ISAF received in the last Quadrennium GBP9.685million (combined 2012 and 2013 Olympic Games Revenues as shown in the 2013 ISAF Financial Statements).

3. There is no significant budget appropriation in ISAF that recognises the underlying significance of this revenue. Insignificant and token allocations are made to Development Budget, Olympic Classes and Olympic Games preparation.

4. ISAF does not maintain a high profile as an Olympic sport and is not high on the list of performing IFs on the IOC program.

5. CA’s plans for CTCs are fully advanced in Oceania with a strategy of coordination using MNA National Sailing Academies, plus improving those MNA academies that are either inefficient, underutilised or non-existent national Training centres and combining, sharing resources at the CTC level for the benefits of all MNAs and our Sailors. Greater efficiency in financial and skill based resources and higher performance outputs are achieved especially in small MNA countries.

6. It is inconsistent with IOC principles that ISAF requires its members to compete at World Championships as the method of qualification to the Olympic Games. This imbalance contributes to greater imbalances between the apparent value of each Continental region. This would be clearly evidenced if ISAF removed the member classification system and adopted 5 continental Regions as does most other IF’s and the IOC.

7. Continental qualifying systems based on the IOC 5 Continental regions and the ISAF Continental Associations should be introduced. This will follow the recommended IOC system of regional classification.

8. The proposed policy could be extended to the sharing of Olympic Games Revenues for the development of athletes within all continental regions.